

## MEETING SUMMARY

---

### I-880 Corridor System Management Plan (CSMP) CSMP Development Working Group

Wednesday, May 26, 2010  
10:30 a.m. – 12:00 noon  
MTC

---

#### Attendees

ACCMA: Bijan Yarjani

Caltrans: Erik Alm, Katie Benouar, Julie Gum, Cesar Pujol, Bob Rosevear

MTC: Albert Yee, Danielle Stanislaus, Mike Kerns

VTA: Casey Emoto, David Kobayashi

MIG: Lou Hexter, Paul Rosenbloom

---

#### I. Welcome and Meeting Objectives

Lou Hexter, MIG, Inc., called the meeting to order, thanked everyone for participating in the 880 CSMP process and reviewed the objectives and agenda for the meeting.

#### II. Presentation and Discussion of Draft CSMP Document

Erik Alm, Bob Rosevear and Cesar Pujol, Caltrans, presented the complete draft CSMP for review and discussion. Erik noted the following changes from previous drafts:

##### Section 3: Existing Conditions

- Reliability metric changed to Travel Time
- Accident Rates and Bottleneck chart added
- Caltrans will add the SMART Corridor Information that has been provided by each agency.

##### Section 4: Future Conditions

The Future Conditions section has been updated to reflect the HOV CMIA projects in both Alameda and Santa Clara County only. Cesar noted that the performance measures differ for the study. One( **WHICH ONE?**) uses speed and the **other** uses delay.

The group discussed potential revisions to the section, including:

- Removing the LOS notation from the Future Conditions map and only noting the future speed. LOS will still be noted in reference tables, but de-emphasized in the text.
- Clarifying if “Peak Travel” refers to one hour or a range of hours.

## Section 5: Corridor Strategies

The group discussed potential revisions to the section, including:

- Clarifying the Ramp Metering map legend
- Adding a discussion of Goods Movement (potentially to Section 2)
- Clarifying the intent of the section titled *Areas for Further Study*. One potential alternative titled suggested was *Considerations for the Future*. The concern with the title was based on a lack of clarity on the significance of including material in this section. For example, does a reference to safety studies mean that future safety studies are being recommended or that related safety studies should be compiled in future CSMPs.
- Adding greater specificity about locations for future study or those areas/projects with committed project funding, such as:
  - Adaptive Ramp Metering
  - Express Lanes
  - Projects at I-880/US-101, I-880/Montague Interchange
  - Projects identified in the LATIP study
- Developing a map to depict existing bottlenecks and planned projects.
- Developing a table to depict recently completed, ongoing and pending planning projects/processes in the corridor, including ICM, Sustainable Communities Strategy, RTP updates, and second-generation CSMPs.

## CSMP Summary

The group discussed potential revisions to the section, including:

- Utilizing a variety of corridor map graphics to tell the CSMP story
  1. Related Studies,
  2. Future Conditions; and
  3. Bottlenecks/Improvement Projects (HOV and Aux lanes)
- Describing how this document will be used in the future and how it relates to current and future, related planning processes.
- Removing references to accidents and excess demand

## III. CSMP Delivery Schedule

- Caltrans is planning to complete a draft/final I-880 CSMP document by June 30 and present to ACCMA boards in July or August. VTA staff will present the material to their board.

## IV. Review and Next Steps

- Additional comments on the materials distributed at the meeting are due to Caltrans on June 2<sup>nd</sup>. Caltrans will incorporate these comments into an updated draft that will be distributed to the I-880 TAC in advance of a June 17<sup>th</sup> TAC meeting.
- ACCMA will coordinate a TAC meeting scheduled for June 17<sup>th</sup> from 1:30-4:30 at the CMA offices.