

FINAL

Transportation *nt*

Report



***California Department of Transportation
District 7
Office of Advance Planning
System Planning Branch***



September 2005

TRANSPORTATION CONCEPT REPORT

STATE ROUTE 150

P.M. 0.00 – 34.40

PREPARED BY DISTRICT 7 DIVISION OF PLANNING


JULY 2005



CALTRANS DISTRICT 07 APPROVAL

Recommended for Approval by:

Approved by:



ROSE CASEY
Deputy District Director
Division of Planning, Public
Transportation & Local
Assistance

Date 8/16/05



DOUG FAILING
District Director
District 7

Date 9/2/05

TRANSPORTATION CONCEPT REPORT

STATE ROUTE 150

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Disclaimer.....	1
II.	Document Summary.....	2
III.	Document Purpose.....	3
	A. System Planning Overview.....	4
	B. Legislative Mandate.....	6
IV.	Regional Threshold Criteria and Policies.....	7
V.	Route Description.....	9
VI.	Socio-Economics.....	10
VII.	Accident Rates and Safety.....	12
VIII.	Segment Summaries Introduction.....	16
	A. Segment Map.....	17
	B. Segment Configuration.....	18
	C. Level of Service Graph.....	19
	D. Segment Summary.....	20
IX.	Route Analysis.....	26
X.	Improvements.....	30
XI.	Transportation Concept and Conclusions.....	32
XII.	Bibliography/Glossary.....	34

I. Disclaimer

This Transportation Concept Report (TCR) is a planning document prepared by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) based on the data available up to the date of its publication.

This TCR identifies the present geometric and operational characteristics of the transportation facility for which it was prepared, the anticipated demand in 20 years, and the suggested improvements to satisfy the future demand.

The future improvements to the transportation facility identified in this TCR are recommendations for study purposes and shall not be binding upon the State of California and/or Caltrans for implementation. Caltrans, in collaboration with local and regional transportation agencies, and upon conduct of further studies and availability of funds, may proceed with implementation of any or all of the identified future improvements or may select improvements in lieu of those identified in this document. Any identified improvements should not be construed as being 100% publicly funded.

II. DOCUMENT SUMMARY

While this Transportation Concept Report (TCR) is divided into twelve sections, three of the sections, VIII, X and XI are the heart of the document. They include detailed segment summaries (Section VIII), a list of suggested improvements (Section X) and Transportation Concept and Conclusions (Section XI). All of the other sections provide a context for analyzing the State Route 150 (SR-150) corridor and document the data resources studied.

The basic aim of this document is to suggest a configuration for SR-150 that will meet projected demands within a framework of programming, implementation constraints and regional policy.

The recommended transportation concept for SR-150 is to maintain the existing facility for segments 1, 4, and 5. Alternative concept #2 is recommended for segments 2 and 3, which entails adding a lane in each direction.

Segment	Limits	Existing Facility (lanes)	Alternative Concept #1	Alternative Concept #2	Maintain Current D/C	LOS "D" Attainment	Ultimate Transportation Concept
1	Santa Barbara Co. line to Rice Rd.	1C	1C	1C	2C	2C	1C
2	Rice Rd. to Rte. 33	1C	2C	2C	2C	3C	2C
3	Rte. 33 (N) to Gorham Rd.	1C	2C	2C	2C	2C	2C
4	Gorham Rd. to Bridge Rd.	1C	1C	1C	1C	1C	1C
5	Bridge Rd. to Rte. 126	1 C	1 C	1 C	2C	2C	1C

C = Conventional

III. DOCUMENT PURPOSE

This Transportation Concept Report (TCR) is an internal Caltrans planning tool intended to provide an initial look at developments within the State Route 150 (SR-150) corridor over the next twenty years. Its primary focus is to identify "need"--defined as the difference between forecast demand and capacity. It analyzes this need in three ways: 1) it documents current conditions; 2) it contrasts projected future demand with planned facilities (capacity); and 3) it proposes future development alternatives to address the shortfalls between demand and capacity.

As an initial step in the planning process, its observations and conclusions serve as a reference for more complex and specific reports such as Feasibility Studies, Major Investment Studies (within the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) region these studies are now referred to as "Regionally Significant Transportation Investment Studies (RSTIS)", and Project Studies).

This TCR is composed of a series of proposed alternatives for the development of SR-150. The alternatives are included in the Segment Summaries, Section VIII. The recommended alternative, which is to maintain the existing facility in segments 1, 4, & 5 and add an additional lane in each direction in segments 2 & 3, is based on existing and future plans--primarily the SCAGs 2004 RTP, Ventura County's 2004 Congestion Management Program, and the Caltrans District System Management Plan. The Attain LOS "D" alternative is based on the number of "lane equivalents" necessary to reach LOS "D"--by definition, the lowest adequate level of service rating.¹ The Ultimate Transportation Corridor (UTC) alternative is considered the maximum reasonable development of a highway facility within the corridor. The UTC is intended to identify potential right of way needs.

1. Please note: The Attain LOS "D" alternative is provided as a way to illustrate future congestion and capacity needs and **not as a suggestion for programming.**

SYSTEM PLANNING:

An Overview

PURPOSE:

System Planning provides the basis for an effective transportation decision-making process, which is responsive to the public demand for mobility of people and goods.

OBJECTIVE:

- Identify, analyze and display transportation problems on a consistent statewide basis to enable fully informed decisions on the programming of system improvements and on system operations and maintenance.
- Allow department management to make short-term decisions that are consistent with long-term objectives.
- Communicate with the public on the levels of transportation service, which the state can or cannot provide.

PRODUCTS:

1) District System Management Plan (DSMP)

The DSMP is a strategic and policy-planning document that presents how the district envisions the transportation system will be maintained, managed and developed over the next twenty years and beyond. It is developed in partnership with regional and local transportation planning agencies, congestion management agencies, transit districts and air quality planning agencies. It considers the entire transportation infrastructure, regardless of jurisdiction, and addresses all modes and services, which move people, services, and goods. As a management tool, it informs federal, state, regional and local agencies, the public and the

private sector of the district's plan for developing, managing and maintaining the transportation system.

2) Route Concept Report (RCR) or Transportation Concept Report (TCR)

RCR's and TCR's analyze a route or corridor and establish a twenty-year transportation planning concept. They identify modal options and various needs to accomplish the twenty-year concept. The concept analysis considers operating level of service (LOS), modal facility type, vehicle occupancy of all modes and capacity needs. The studies identify "unconstrained" needs.

3) Transportation System Development Plan (TSDP)

The TSDP identifies transportation system improvements for the various options analyzed in the DSMP and TCR's. It covers the four-years immediately following the five-year STIP period and uses high and low funding scenarios. It provides a priority list for use in programming on- and off-system improvements.

Document Schedule:

DSMP - Generally, the same as the SCAG Regional Transportation Plan. The anticipated completion date is September 2005.

TCR's - Ongoing; updated as conditions change.

TSDP – Generally precedes STIP priority list; due from the District by March 15th of odd numbered years. The anticipated completion date is September 2005.

The Legislative Mandate

Long-Term System Planning

Added: Government Code Statutes of 1987, Chapter 878

65086 (a) The Department of Transportation shall carry out long-term state highway system planning to identify future highway improvements and new transportation corridors through route concept reports.

(b) The department, in conjunction with transportation planning agencies, shall develop specific project listings for the initiation of project studies reports resulting in project candidates for inclusion in regional transportation plans and the state transportation improvement program as required by Section 14529.

IV. Regional Threshold Criteria and Policies

I. CALTRANS: California Transportation Plan 2025 Goals:

- 1) Enhance public safety and security
- 2) Preserve the Transportation system
- 3) Improve mobility & accessibility
- 4) Support the economy
- 5) Enhance the environment
- 6) Reflect Community Values

II. CALTRANS: District System Management Plan:

- 1) District 7 has established **LOS F0** with freeway speeds of approximately 25 mph lasting from 15 minutes to 1 hour as the minimum acceptable LOS for the Freeway System.

III. 2004 Ventura County Congestion Management Program (CMP)

- 1) **LOS "E"** has been established as the minimum acceptable LOS standard.

IV. SCAG 2004 Regional Transportation Plan Regional Goals

1. Maximize mobility and accessibility for all people and goods in the region.
2. Ensure travel safety and reliability for all people and goods in the region.
3. Preserve and ensure sustainable regional transportation system.
4. Maximize the productivity of our transportation system.

5. Protect the environment, improve air quality and promote energy efficiency.
6. Encourage land use and growth patterns that complement our transportation investments.

V. TEA 21--Generally:

1. Maintain TDM
2. Provide for intelligent transportation systems (ITS)
3. Expands funding to include intermodal terminals at seaports

VI. Transportation Management System Master Plan (TMS) Goals

1. Prepare for and support aggressive TMS implementation
2. Restore lost capacity by 20 percent
3. Reduce projected freeway congestion by 20 percent
4. Improve travel time reliability by 10 percent

V. ROUTE DESCRIPTION

Pursuant to statutes relating to the California Department of Transportation, SR-150 runs from US-101 near the Ventura-Santa Barbara county line to SR-126 near Santa Paula.

SR-150 spans a distance of 34.40 miles in District 7. It traverses the cities of Ojai, and Santa Paula in Ventura County.

PURPOSE OF ROUTE:

The purpose of Route 150 is shown in the following table:

Seg.	PM	Description	Route Purpose	Facility Type
1	00.000 to R014.113	Santa Barbara Co. Line to Rice Road	Interregional/Recreational	Conventional
2	R014.113 to 016.577	Rice Road to SR-33 (N)	Interregional/Commuter/Recreational	Conventional
3	016.577 to 019.040	SR-33 (N) to Gorham Road	Interregional/Commuter/Recreational	Conventional
4	019.040 to 031.441	Gorham Road to Bridge Road	Interregional/Commuter/Recreational	Conventional
5	031.441 to 034.398	Bridge Road to SR-126	Interregional/Commuter/Recreational	Conventional

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION:

SR-150 is part of the Federal Aid Primary (FAP) system and is also on the list of eligible California Scenic Highway System routes. Segments 1 and 4 are classified as a Minor Arterial (MA). Segments 2, 3, & 5 are classified as Extensions of a Minor Arterial into an urban area (P1M).

VI. SOCIO ECONOMICS

Land use along the corridor consists of recreational, agricultural, commercial, industrial and residential. The route traverses terrain that varies from flat to mountainous with grades in excess of six percent.

SR-150 currently provides access to the Lake Casitas Recreational area, Soule County Park, located near Ojai, and Steckel Park, located near Santa Paula. There are residential areas in the City of Ojai and the City of Santa Paula. There are also commercial developments in the City of Santa Paula where SR-150 serves as the main thoroughfare at the SR-126 junction.

In Santa Paula west of SR-150, a residential development has been proposed for Fagan Canyon. Fagan Canyon is located in the unincorporated area of Ventura County and is within the County Urban Restriction Boundary (CURB).


In Ventura County, the voters approved the Save Open Space and Agricultural Resources (SOAR) Ordinances/Initiatives. SOAR ordinances and initiatives establish "City Urban Restriction Boundary (CURB)" lines around each city and require city voter approval before any land located outside the CURB lines can be developed under the city's jurisdiction for urban purposes. The County SOAR ordinance requires countywide voter approval of any change to the County General Plan involving the "Agricultural", "Open Space", or "Rural" land use map designations, or any change to a General Plan goal or policy related to those land use designations.

State Route 150 traverses two Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Regional Statistical Areas (RSAs), which are identified as North Ventura/Los Padres and San Buenaventura. Growth forecasts (see socio-economic data graph below²) predict moderate growth in population, employment and housing in the North Ventura/Las Padres RSA, as well as in the San Buenaventura RSA.

² Socio Economic Data Source– Southern California Association of Governments 2004 RTP


The following graphs illustrate projected growth in population, employment and housing³ in the areas surrounding Route 150 between the years 2005 and 2025:

NORTH VENTURA/LAS PADRES REGIONAL STATISTICAL AREA



	2005	2010	2015	2025	Actual Change	% Change
Housing	<i>247</i>	<i>262</i>	<i>283</i>	<i>300</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>21%</i>
Population	<i>1,043</i>	<i>1,187</i>	<i>1,236</i>	<i>1,305</i>	<i>262</i>	<i>25%</i>
Employment	<i>125</i>	<i>126</i>	<i>126</i>	<i>126</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1%</i>

SAN BUENAVENTURA REGIONAL STATISTICAL AREA



	2005	2010	2015	2025	Actual Change	% Change
Housing	<i>63,758</i>	<i>66,594</i>	<i>69,509</i>	<i>75,152</i>	<i>11,394</i>	<i>18%</i>
Population	<i>181,397</i>	<i>193,692</i>	<i>203,956</i>	<i>219,866</i>	<i>38,469</i>	<i>21%</i>
Employment	<i>85,381</i>	<i>91,217</i>	<i>94,782</i>	<i>101,023</i>	<i>15,642</i>	<i>18%</i>

³ Socio Economic Data Source – Southern California Association of Governments 2004 RTP

VII. ACCIDENT RATES AND SAFETY

District traffic safety and accident data are based on the Traffic Accident Surveillance and Analysis System (TASAS). This database provides accident rates using a three-year average along selected routes. The TASAS data, which is displayed graphically on the following pages, covers the period of January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2003.

First Graph: Fatal Plus Injury Per Million Vehicle Miles

The first graph, "Fatal Plus Injury Per Million Vehicle Miles" (F+I/MVM), shows the rate of fatal and non-fatal injuries on SR-150 during the coverage period. This graph has two graph lines, "Average" and "Actual". The "Actual" is based on specific data for accidents on SR-150. The "Average" line represents a Statewide Average Accident Rate (SWA) for highway segments of the same type with similar characteristics in the state.

According to the accident data obtained from the TASAS database the actual percentage of Fatal + Injury accidents that occurred in segments 3, 4, & 5 are higher than the SWA.


Second Graph: Total Accidents Per Million Vehicles Miles

The second graph, "Total Accidents Per Million Vehicle Miles" (Total/MVM) includes all accidents (fatal, non-fatal injury and accidents without injuries) within the coverage period. As in the first graph, the "Actual" is based on specific SR-150 data and "Average" represents a statewide average for comparable road segments.

According to the accident data obtained from the TASAS database the total percentage of accidents that occurred on segments 3, 4, & 5 are higher than the SWA.


STATE ROUTE 150 ACCIDENT RATES

Fatal + Injury (Per Million Vehicle Miles)



	1	2	3	4	5
Actual	0.81	0.62	2.17	1.51	1.55
Average	0.87	0.81	1.18	0.68	1.17

Total Accidents (Per Million Vehicle Miles)



	1	2	3	4	5
Actual	1.64	1.39	5.11	2.62	3.14
Average	1.75	1.87	2.84	1.40	2.76

Safety

There are concerns along segments 4 & 5 where during the rainy season mudslides and damage to the highway can compromise the safety of the motoring public.

This season there was an unusual amount of heavy rain and many sections of segments 4 & 5 suffered major damage.



The above picture illustrates recent storm damage in segment 4 at post mile 30.50, which is located approximately 1.5 miles east of the Santa Paula North city limits. Most of the westbound lane was washed out and the toe of the embankment was undermined.



At post mile 28.83 the westbound lane and the supporting embankment was undermined. There is also a longitudinal crack near the roadway centerline. A drainage ditch that was plugged with debris, causing water to seep through cracks caused the damage to this section of the highway.

The accident and safety data that is provided in this TCR is intended to support informed and responsible decision-making by transportation planners and programmers. Research into the connection between congestion and safety is being performed by Caltrans and within the national and international transportation communities. Future TCR's will document the state of that research.

VIII. SEGMENT SUMMARIES INTRODUCTION

This TCR analyzes the conditions on SR-150 using the “segment” as the study unit. Segments are generally defined as “freeway interchange to freeway interchange”, “county line to freeway interchange”, or “freeway interchange to end of freeway”. The map on the following page illustrates these segments.

Each summary describes the segment’s current and projected operating characteristics, existing configuration, projected traffic demand and proposed alternative improvements.

DISTRICT 7
Los Angeles & Ventura Counties

**State Route 150
TCR Segmentation**

LEGEND

Segment No.	Description
1	SB-VEN Co Ln to Rice Rd
2	Rice Road to Route 33 (N)
3	Route 33 (N) to Gorham Rd
4	Gorham Rd to 0.1W Bridge Rd
5	0.1W Bridge Road to Fwy 126

Highways
 Traversable State Highways

- State
- Interstate
- U.S.

- Airports
- Municipal
 - Military


Recommended Alternative Concept entails adding a lane in each direction in segments 2 & 3.



*Pacific
Ocean*




STATE ROUTE 150 CONCEPT SUMMARY - SEGMENT CONFIGURATION



Segment #	1	2	3	4	5
Existing Base Year 2000					
Demand / Capacity	0.21	1.46	1.14	0.32	0.94
Avg. Daily Traffic (x1,000)	2.60	19.60	13.30	3.40	13.70
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1
Pk.hour Level Of Service	A	F3	F0	A	E
2020 Null With Route 710 (Main Line)					
Demand / Capacity	0.27	1.86	1.49	0.41	1.14
Avg. Daily Traffic (x1,000)	3.30	24.90	17.30	4.40	16.60
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1
Pk.hour Level Of Service	A	F3	F3	B	F0
2020 Concept (Alternate #1)					
Demand / Capacity	0.24	1.30	0.76	0.34	1.01
Avg. Daily Traffic (x1,000)	3.00	35.10	17.70	3.60	14.60
Number of Lanes	1	2	2	1	1
Pk.hour Level Of Service	A	F1	C	A	F0
2020 Concept (Alternate #2)					
Demand / Capacity	0.24	1.27	0.74	0.34	1.01
Avg. Daily Traffic (x1,000)	3.00	34.30	17.50	3.60	14.60
Number of Lanes	1	2	2	1	1
Pk.hour Level Of Service	A	F1	C	A	F0

State Route 150 Concept Summary - Level of Service



Segment #	1	2	3	4	5
Base Year (2000)					
Demand / Capacity	0.21	1.46	1.14	0.32	0.94
Avg. Daily Traffic (x1,000)	2.60	19.60	13.30	3.40	13.70
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1
Pk.hour Level Of Service	A	F3	F0	A	E
2020 Null with Route 710					
Demand / Capacity	0.27	1.86	1.49	0.41	1.14
Avg. Daily Traffic (x1,000)	3.30	24.90	17.30	4.40	16.60
Number of Lanes	1	1	1	1	1
Pk.hour Level Of Service	A	F3	F3	B	F0
2020 Concept (Alternate #1)					
Demand / Capacity	0.24	1.30	0.76	0.34	1.01
Avg. Daily Traffic (x1,000)	3.00	35.10	17.70	3.60	14.60
Number of Lanes	1	2	2	1	1
Pk.hour Level Of Service	A	F1	C	A	F0
2020 Concept (Alternate #2)					
Demand / Capacity	0.24	1.27	0.74	0.34	1.01
Avg. Daily Traffic (x1,000)	3.00	34.30	17.50	3.60	14.60
Number of Lanes	1	2	2	1	1
Pk.hour Level Of Service	A	F1	C	A	F0

STATE ROUTE 150 - SEGMENT 1 SUMMARY

DESCRIPTION	
Limits:	Santa Barbara Co. Line to Rice Road
Post Mile	0.000 - R014.113

Purpose
Interregional/Commuter/Recreational

Classification	
Functional Classification:	MA - Minor Arterial
MPAH Designation:	State Route
Other Systems:	

Ultimate Concept	
Main Line	
1	

Physical Characteristics	
Terrain:	Mountainous
Mainline R/W	100'
Median / Outside Shoulder:	0'/1'-8'
Design Speed (MPH)	50
Bridge Structures:	4

Corridor Characteristics	
Trucks (% of ADT):	2%
Express Transit (lines):	None
Operators:	N/A
Rail Service:	None
Park & Ride Lots (Spaces):	None

Accident Rates			
per Million Vehicle Miles (MVM) (1/01 to 12/03)			
ACTUAL		AVERAGE	
Fatal + Injury	Total	Fatal + Injury	Total
0.81	1.64	0.87	1.75

TRAFFIC DATA										
	EXISTING (2000)		2020 NULL Without 710		2020 NULL With 710		2020 CONCEPT (Alt1)		2020 CONCEPT (Alt2)	
	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	2,600		3,300		3,300		3,000		3,000	
Lanes Configuration (ea. direction)	1		1		1		1		1	

Volume											
AM Peak Hour	E	100		130		130		110		110	
AM Peak Hour	W	190		240		240		220		220	
PM Peak Hour	E	180		230		230		200		200	
PM Peak Hour	W	130		170		170		150		150	

Speed (mph)											
AM Average	E	35		35		35		35		35	
AM Average	W	35		35		35		35		35	
PM Average	E	35		35		35		35		35	
PM Average	W	35		35		35		35		35	

Service Characteristics											
Level Of Service, AM	E	A		A		A		A		A	
Level Of Service, AM	W	A		A		A		A		A	
Level Of Service, PM	E	A		A		A		A		A	
Level Of Service, PM	W	A		A		A		A		A	
Directional Split (%) AM	E	34%		35%		35%		33%		33%	
Directional Split (%) PM	E	58%		58%		58%		57%		57%	

NOTES: Speeds are estimated and are for comparative purposes only

STATE ROUTE 150 - SEGMENT 2 SUMMARY

DESCRIPTION	
Limits:	Rice Road to SR-33 (N)
Post Mile	R014.113 - 016.577

Purpose
Interregional/Commuter/Recreational

Classification	
Functional Classification:	P1M - Extension of a Minor Arterial into an urban area
MPAH Designation:	State Route
Other Systems:	

Ultimate Concept	
Main Line	
2	

Physical Characteristics	
Terrain:	Flat
Mainline R/W	40'-100'
Median / Outside Shoulder:	0'-12'/3'-8'
Design Speed (MPH)	50
Bridge Structures:	none

Corridor Characteristics	
Trucks (% of ADT):	2%
Express Transit (lines):	None
Operators:	N/A
Rail Service:	None
Park & Ride Lots (Spaces):	None

Accident Rates			
per Million Vehicle Miles (MVM) (1/01 to 12/03)			
ACTUAL		AVERAGE	
Fatal + Injury	Total	Fatal + Injury	Total
0.62	1.39	0.81	1.87

TRAFFIC DATA										
	EXISTING (2000)		2020 NULL Without 710		2020 NULL With 710		2020 CONCEPT (Alt1)		2020 CONCEPT (Alt2)	
	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	19,600		24,900		24,900		35,100		34,300	
Lanes Configuration (ea. direction)	1		1		1		2		2	

Volume										
AM Peak Hour	E	420		530		530		750		730
AM Peak Hour	W	1,070		1,670		1,670		2,340		2,290
PM Peak Hour	E	1,310		1,360		1,360		1,910		1,870
PM Peak Hour	W	590		750		750		1,060		1,030

Speed (mph)										
AM Average	E	35		35		35		35		35
AM Average	W	18		8		8		22		23
PM Average	E	26		16		16		29		30
PM Average	W	34		33		33		35		35

Service Characteristics										
Level Of Service, AM	E	B		C		C		B		B
Level Of Service, AM	W	F3		F3		F3		F1		F1
Level Of Service, PM	E	F0		F3		F3		F0		F0
Level Of Service, PM	W	C		D		D		C		C
Directional Split (%) AM	E	28%		24%		24%		24%		24%
Directional Split (%) PM	E	69%		64%		64%		64%		64%

NOTES: Speeds are estimated and are for comparative purposes only

STATE ROUTE 150 - SEGMENT 3 SUMMARY

DESCRIPTION	
Limits:	SR-33 (N) to Gorham Road
Post Mile	016.577 - 19.040

Purpose
Interregional/Commuter/Recreational

Classification	
Functional Classification:	P1M - Extension of a Minor Arterial into an urban area
MPAH Designation:	State Route
Other Systems:	

Ultimate Concept	
Main Line	
2	

Physical Characteristics	
Terrain:	Flat
Mainline R/W	40'/100'
Median / Outside Shoulder:	0'/2'-8'
Design Speed (MPH)	50
Bridge Structures:	1

Corridor Characteristics	
Trucks (% of ADT):	3%
Express Transit (lines):	None
Operators:	N/A
Rail Service:	None
Park & Ride Lots (Spaces):	1

Accident Rates			
per Million Vehicle Miles (MVM) (1/01 to 12/03)			
ACTUAL		AVERAGE	
Fatal + Injury	Total	Fatal + Injury	Total
2.17	5.11	1.18	2.84

TRAFFIC DATA										
	EXISTING (2000)		2020 NULL Without 710		2020 NULL With 710		2020 CONCEPT (Alt1)		2020 CONCEPT (Alt2)	
	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	13,300		17,200		17,300		17,700		17,500	
Lanes Configuration (ea. direction)	1		1		1		2		2	

Volume										
AM Peak Hour	E	710	920	930	950	930				
AM Peak Hour	W	570	740	740	760	750				
PM Peak Hour	E	280	360	370	370	370				
PM Peak Hour	W	630	810	820	840	830				

Speed (mph)										
AM Average	E	23	15	14	29	29				
AM Average	W	27	22	22	30	30				
PM Average	E	30	30	30	30	30				
PM Average	W	26	19	19	29	29				

Service Characteristics										
Level Of Service, AM	E	F0	F3	F3	C	C				
Level Of Service, AM	W	D	F0	F0	C	C				
Level Of Service, PM	E	B	C	C	A	A				
Level Of Service, PM	W	F0	F1	F1	C	C				
Directional Split (%) AM	E	55%	55%	56%	56%	55%				
Directional Split (%) PM	E	31%	31%	31%	31%	31%				

NOTES: Speeds are estimated and are for comparative purposes only

STATE ROUTE 150 - SEGMENT 4 SUMMARY

DESCRIPTION	
Limits:	Gorham Road to Bridge Road
Post Mile	019.040 - 031.441

Purpose
Interregional/Commuter/Recreational

Classification	
Functional Classification:	P1M - Extension of a Minor Arterial into an urban area
MPAH Designation:	State Route
Other Systems:	

Ultimate Concept	
Main Line	
1	

Physical Characteristics	
Terrain:	Mountainous
Mainline R/W	100'
Median / Outside Shoulder:	0/2'-8'
Design Speed (MPH)	50
Bridge Structures:	8

Corridor Characteristics	
Trucks (% of ADT):	3%
Express Transit (lines):	None
Operators:	N/A
Rail Service:	None
Park & Ride Lots (Spaces):	None

Accident Rates			
per Million Vehicle Miles (MVM) (1/01 to 12/03)			
ACTUAL		AVERAGE	
Fatal + Injury	Total	Fatal + Injury	Total
1.51	2.62	0.68	1.40

TRAFFIC DATA										
	EXISTING (2000)		2020 NULL Without 710		2020 NULL With 710		2020 CONCEPT (Alt1)		2020 CONCEPT (Alt2)	
	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	3,400		4,400		4,400		3,600		3,600	
Lanes Configuration (ea. direction)	1		1		1		1		1	

Volume										
AM Peak Hour	E	290		370		370		310		310
AM Peak Hour	W	90		120		120		100		100
PM Peak Hour	E	20		30		30		20		20
PM Peak Hour	W	200		260		260		210		210

Speed (mph)										
AM Average	E	35		35		35		35		35
AM Average	W	35		35		35		35		35
PM Average	E	35		35		35		35		35
PM Average	W	35		35		35		35		35

Service Characteristics										
Level Of Service, AM	E	A		B		B		A		A
Level Of Service, AM	W	A		A		A		A		A
Level Of Service, PM	E	A		A		A		A		A
Level Of Service, PM	W	A		A		A		A		A
Directional Split (%) AM	E	76%		76%		76%		76%		76%
Directional Split (%) PM	E	9%		10%		10%		9%		9%

NOTES: Speeds are estimated and are for comparative purposes only

STATE ROUTE 150 - SEGMENT 5 SUMMARY

DESCRIPTION	
Limits:	Bridge Road to SR-126
Post Mile	031.441 - 034.398

Purpose
Interregional/Commuter/Recreational

Classification	
Functional Classification:	P1M - Extension of a Minor Arterial into an urban area
MPAH Designation:	State Route
Other Systems:	

Ultimate Concept	
Main Line	
1	

Physical Characteristics	
Terrain:	Flat
Mainline R/W	80'-100'
Median / Outside Shoulder:	0'/6'-10'
Design Speed (MPH)	40-45
Bridge Structures:	1

Corridor Characteristics	
Trucks (% of ADT):	3%
Express Transit (lines):	None
Operators:	N/A
Rail Service:	None
Park & Ride Lots (Spaces):	None

Accident Rates			
per Million Vehicle Miles (MVM) (1/01 to 12/03)			
ACTUAL		AVERAGE	
Fatal + Injury	Total	Fatal + Injury	Total
1.55	3.14	1.17	2.76

TRAFFIC DATA										
	EXISTING (2000)		2020 NULL Without 710		2020 NULL With 710		2020 CONCEPT (Alt1)		2020 CONCEPT (Alt2)	
	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	13,700		16,600		16,600		14,600		14,600	
Lanes Configuration (ea. direction)	1		1		1		1		1	

Volume											
AM Peak Hour	E	850		1,030		1,030		910		910	
AM Peak Hour	W	480		580		180		510		510	
PM Peak Hour	E	160		190		190		170		170	
PM Peak Hour	W	720		870		870		770		770	

Speed (mph)											
AM Average	E	31		27		27		30		30	
AM Average	W	35		34		34		35		35	
PM Average	E	35		35		35		35		35	
PM Average	W	33		31		31		33		33	

Service Characteristics											
Level Of Service, AM	E	E		F0		F0		F0		F0	
Level Of Service, AM	W	B		C		C		C		C	
Level Of Service, PM	E	A		A		A		A		A	
Level Of Service, PM	W	D		E		E		D		D	
Directional Split (%) AM	E	64%		64%		85%		64%		64%	
Directional Split (%) PM	E	18%		18%		18%		18%		18%	

NOTES: Speeds are estimated and are for comparative purposes only

STATE ROUTE 150 - CONGESTION MEASURES

SPEED										
	AVERAGE SPEEDS (mph)									
	2000* EXISTING		2020 NULL* (withouth I-710)		2020 NULL* (with I-710)		2020 CONCEPT* Alternate 1		2020 CONCEPT* Alternate 2	
	Main Line	HOV	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
Segment 1	35		35		35		35		35	
Segment 2	18		8		8		22		23	
Segment 3	23		15		14		29		29	
Segment 4	35		35		35		35		35	
Segment 5	31		27		27		30		30	

DEMAND / CAPACITY RATIOS										
	2000* EXISTING		2020 NULL* (without I-710)		2020 NULL* (with I-710)		2020 CONCEPT* Alternate 1		2020 CONCEPT* Alternate 2	
	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
	Segment 1	.21		0.27		0.27		0.24		0.24
Segment 2	1.46		1.86		1.86		1.3		1.27	
Segment 3	1.14		1.47		1.49		0.76		0.74	
Segment 4	.32		0.41		0.41		0.34		0.34	
Segment 5	.94		1.14		1.14		1.01		1.01	

LEVEL OF SERVICE										
	2000* EXISTING		2020 NULL* (without I-710)		2020 NULL* (with I-710)		2020 CONCEPT* Alternate 1		2020 CONCEPT* Alternate 2	
	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
	Segment 1	A		A		A		A		A
Segment 2	F3		F3		F3		F1		F1	
Segment 3	F0		F3		F3		C		C	
Segment 4	A		B		B		A		A	
Segment 5	E		F0		F0		F0		F0	

HOURS OF DELAY										
	2000* EXISTING		2020 NULL* (without I-710)		2020 NULL* (with I-710)		2020 CONCEPT* Alternate 1		2020 CONCEPT* Alternate 2	
	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)	Main Line	HOV Lane(s)
	Segment 1	0		0		0		0		0
Segment 2	100		400		400		100		100	
Segment 3	0		100		100		0		0	
Segment 4	0		0		0		0		0	
Segment 5	0		50		50		0		0	

Speed values are estimates and are to be used for comparative purposes only
 Delay values are estimates and are to be used for comparative purposes only
 *: Worst condition during peak hours

IX. ROUTE ANALYSIS

EXISTING FACILITY: SR-150 is a 2-lane conventional highway with standard and substandard width lanes. There are 14 bridge structures along the route. There are no medians and shoulder widths vary from 1 to 10 feet.

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS: There are nine signalized intersections along SR-150. They are as follows:

<u>Intersection</u>	<u>Post Mile</u>
• Loma Drive	15.021
• Country Club Drive	17.011
• Bristol Road	17.151
• Signal Street	17.551
• Fox Street	17.801
• Santa Paula Street/10 th Street	33.851
• Santa Barbara Street	33.981
• Main Street	34.100
• Harvard Boulevard	34.361

ALTERNATE ROUTES: US-101 to SR-33 north to SR-150 in the City of Ojai serves as alternate routes.

CURRENT OPERATING CONDITIONS/DEFICIENCIES: Traffic volumes on SR-150 range from 3,000 to 24,700 average daily traffic (ADT).

Congestion results primarily from a lack of capacity to accommodate existing and projected traffic demand. Operating deficiencies occur when the existing facility or projected LOS falls below the concept LOS.

The areas of concern are segments 2 (Rice Road to SR-33 North) and 3 (SR-33 North to Gorham Road). SR-150 serves as major arterial through the City of Ojai

segment 3. Without the recommended improvements the condition of the route will continue to deteriorate, especially in the City of Santa Paula where there are plans for future housing developments.

Rail Transit Service:

There are no existing rail services in the area.

Bus Transit: There is no public transit operating along the western portion of SR-150. South Coast Transit (SCAT) provides local bus service in the City of Ojai and there is a trolley system that operates seven days a week and serves the city and surrounding communities. The Ventura Intercity Service Transit Authority (VISTA) provides transit service to the City of Santa Paula.

Park and Ride: Caltrans' Park and Ride Program was initiated in the late 1970's. This program was a way to relieve congestion on the state highway system. The building of Park and Ride lots peaked around the mid 1980's, then subsided during the mid 1990's. This subsidence originated from Senate Bill 45, which re-allocated 75% of the state highway funding to local and regional agencies; thus leaving Caltrans with 25% of the remainder to fund the rest of its programs. Currently, the remaining Park and Ride lots are preserved by each Caltrans District's maintenance Division.

There are 151 Park and Ride Facilities within Caltrans District 7. Of the 151 Park and Ride lots, 52 are state owned, while the rest are owned by either county, local, or private enterprise, there are also 24 leased or "shared use" Park and Ride lots. These Park and Ride lots, which also complement the High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) network, function as an integral element in Caltrans' strategies for long-range congestion management.

There are two park and ride lots along the SR-150 corridor, one located at Fox Street and Ojai Road and the second one at the intersection of State Route 33 and State Route 150 (Maricopa Highway) in the City of Ojai.

GOODS MOVEMENT

The economic vitality and well being of Southern California region depends upon the safe and timely transport of goods as well as people. Current levels of congestion are detrimental to this vitality, and future projections indicate that this situation will get much worse. In terms of freight alone, the SCAG RTP forecasts the amount of cargo brought into the Region by seaports and airports to greatly increase over the next 25 years as international trade volume triples. The 2004 SCAG RTP states that the SCAG model projects an increase of over 110 percent in truck vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by 2030. Significant actions need to be taken to protect the economic well being of the region. These include improved rail service, including more grade separations; additional and improved intermodal transfer facilities; truck lanes on major truck routes; improved access to and enhanced cargo handling capabilities at seaports; and improved air cargo accessibility with separation from passenger activities at airports. Some of the specific conditions that may affect SR-150 are as follows:

Truck: SR-150 is part of the California Legal Truck Network and is considered a California Legal Advisory Route. Only California Legal trucks are allowed to access this route. It also carries a Kingpin to Rear Axle Advisory (KPRA), which indicates that travel is not advised if KPRA length is over the posted value. Advisories range from 30 to 38 feet.

Airports: Nearby airports include the Oxnard, Camarillo, and Santa Paula Airports. The Oxnard Airport is located on the coastal edge of Oxnard. It is a 216-acre airport, which is classified as a non-hub commercial service airport with commuter flights currently serving the Los Angeles World Airport. Commuter service is provided by United Express Airline. The Camarillo airport is located on the southwest corporate limits of the city of Camarillo. It is classified as a general aviation reliever airport for the Los Angeles area, supporting a wide range of general aviation activity. The Santa Paula Airport is a private, general aviation airport located immediately south and adjacent to the SR-150/SR-126 Interchange in the City of Santa Paula.

Seaports: The Oxnard Harbor District is an independent and political subdivision of the State of California, which owns and operates the commercial Port of Hueneme, an important freight activity center in Ventura County. The Port of Hueneme Terminal and Multimodal Expansion program completed in 1999 greatly enhanced the Port's ability to handle refrigerated containers and roll-on/roll-off cargo. A new rail yard will create a flow of cargo in the terminal areas between ship, truck or rail.

Pipeline: The Pipeline Network of California is part of the Goods Movement Strategy as described in the California Transportation Plan 2025. These pipelines carry natural gas, crude oil and refined petroleum products through an underground system.

X. IMPROVEMENTS

These seven programming documents provide a mechanism for project funding within the region. The following is a brief description of each.

Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) -- A five-year list of proposed transportation projects. The Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) submits the RTIP to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) as a request for State Funding. If RTIP projects have federal funding components, they will also appear in the FTIP once selected for the STIP (see below).

Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) -- A five-year program developed by Caltrans that includes projects developed through the Interregional Road System Plan, Intercity Rail, Soundwall, Toll Bridge, and Aeronautics programs.

State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) -- A five-year list of transportation projects proposed in RTIP's and PSTIP's that the CTC adopts. Those projects that have federal funding components will also appear in the FTIP and FSTIP.

State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) -- A ten-year Master Plan and a four-year program limited to projects related to State highway safety and rehabilitation.

Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) -- A 3 to 5 year list of all transportation projects proposed for federal funding under TEA-21, within the planning area of an MPO. An MPO develops the FTIP and the Director of Caltrans approves it. In air quality non-attainment areas, the plan must conform to a State Implementation Plan.

Federal State Transportation Improvement Program (FSTIP) -- A three-year list of transportation projects proposed for funding under ISTEA developed by the State in cooperation with MPO's and in consultation with local non-urbanized

Traffic Operations Program Strategies (TOPS) -- A program developed by Caltrans and the CHP to ensure the safety and service of California motorists by implementing the latest in interactive/integrated transportation management and information systems. Caltrans and the CHP use sophisticated electronic technologies to process and analyze freeway traffic data, to monitor traffic flow in order to rapidly detect and effectively respond to incidents and resulting congestion. Implementation of TOPS includes minor operational improvements i.e. geometric upgrades and major capital improvements i.e., geometric upgrades fiber optics/closed circuit cable television monitoring system, changeable message signs and ramp meters) and major capital improvements (i.e., HOV lanes, ramp upgrades, auxiliary lanes, and freeway connector metering. Also included in the plan are additional freeway lanes, direct HOV connectors, and Changeable Message Signs (CMS) and Highway Advisory Radio (HAR).

PROGRAMMED IMPROVEMENTS

Currently, there are no capacity enhancing projects programmed for SR-150, however there is a proposal to replace the San Antonio Creek Bridge (PM 18.8 within segment 3). The new bridge will have one lane with a shoulder in each direction. The Environmental Document and Project Report are currently being prepared and the project is scheduled to be constructed in 2007.

OTHER RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

Ventura County Congestion Management Program Recommendations:

- Conduct a study of the feasibility of relocating SR-150 in Santa Paula to the East side of the Santa Paula Creek.
- Add passing lanes on SR-150, where feasible, between US-101 and SR-126.
- Upgrade substandard shoulders and other improvements where warranted based on the findings of a road safety audit.
- Extend VISTA general public dial-a-ride in Santa Paula, as needed to serve any major developments, such as a new Junior College or housing developments.

XI. TRANSPORTATION CONCEPT AND CONCLUSIONS

TRANSPORTATION CONCEPT: The transportation concept describes the operating conditions and physical facilities required to provide those conditions that could exist on SR-150 after considering the conclusions, priorities, and strategies discussed in the District System Management Plan (DSMP), the SCAG Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), and the Ventura County Congestion Management Program. The route concept represents what could reasonably be accomplished to facilitate the mobility of traffic desiring to use the route. It assumes that management improvement strategies and system operation management improvements to maximize the efficiency on SR-150 will be implemented.

The transportation concept is composed of a Level of Service (LOS) and facility component. The concept LOS indicates the minimum level of service the District would allow on a route prior to proposing an alternative to improve operating conditions. The concept facility is the facility that could be developed to maintain or attain the concept LOS.

RECOMMENDED CONCEPT: The recommended transportation concept for SR-150 is to maintain the existing facility on segments 1,4, & 5. Although there is no increase in capacity in these segments, there is still an improvement in the areas of speed, Demand Capacity (D/C) ratios, and LOS. This is due to the recommended improvements on segments 2 and 3, as well as improvements to the surrounding systems.

On segments 2 & 3 modeling data indicates future congestion, therefore, the recommended transportation concept is Alternative #2, which entails adding a lane in each direction.

CONCLUSIONS: Alternatives 1 and 2 are identical (adding a lane in each direction). Both alternatives show an improvement in speed, D/C ratios, LOS and timesavings over the Null scenario. However, Alternative #2 shows an overall benefit to this route and the entire system.

XII. BIBLIOGRAPHY

District System Management Plan, California Department of Transportation, District 7, August 16, 1996

2000 Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board National Research Council, October 2000

Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) (P.L. 102-240) December 1991

Manual for Applying the California Transportation Commission's Policy Guidelines for Funding Interchanges and Crossings, California Department of Transportation, April 1984

NEXTEA (Re-Authorization of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991) (ISTEA) (P.L. 102-240), December 1991

Project Development Procedures Manual, Chapter 4—Programming, California Department of Transportation, July 1997

2004 Regional Transportation Plan, (Adopted), Southern California Association of Governments, (2002)

Route 150 Route Concept Report, California Department of Transportation, District 7, (January,1991)

Statutes Related to Programming and Funding Transportation Projects, California Department of Transportation, Transportation Programming Program, July 1996

Transportation Management System Master Plan (TMS), California Department of Transportation, February 2004

2002 Traffic Volumes on California State Highways, California Department of Transportation, Traffic Operations Program, June 2001

2004 Ventura County Congestion Management Program, Ventura County Transportation Commission, December 2004

GLOSSARY

AA DT: (Average Annual Daily Traffic) Denotes that the daily traffic is averaged over one calendar year.

AD T: (Average Daily Traffic) The average number of vehicles passing a specified point during a 24-hour period.

AQ MD: (Air Quality Management District) A regional agency, which adopts and enforces regulations to achieve and maintain state and federal air quality standards.

AQ MP: (Air Quality Management Plan) The plan for attaining state air quality as required by the California Clean Air Act of 1988. The plan is adopted by air quality districts and is subject to approval by the California Air Resources Board.

AV O: (Average Vehicle Occupancy) The average number of persons occupying a passenger vehicle along a roadway segment intersection, or area, as typically monitored during a specified time period. For the purpose of the California Clean Air Act, passenger vehicles include autos, light duty trucks, passenger vans, buses, passenger rail vehicles and motorcycles.

AV R: (Average Vehicle Ridership) The number of employees who report to a worksite divided by the number of vehicles driven by those employees, typically averaged over an established time period. This calculation includes crediting vehicle trip reductions from telecommuting, compressed workweeks and non-motorized transportation.

Caltrans: (California Department of Transportation) The owner/operator of the state highway system. State agency responsible for its safe operation and maintenance. Proposes projects for intercity rail, interregional roads, and sound walls. The implementing agency for most state highway projects, regardless of program, and for the Intercity Rail program.

CBD: (Central Business District) The downtown core area of a city, generally an area of high land valuation, traffic flow, and concentration of retail business offices, theaters, hotels, and service businesses.

CCTV: (Closed Circuit Television)

CE: (Commuter Express) Operated by Los Angeles Department of Transportation

CEQA: (California Environmental Quality Act) A statute that requires all jurisdictions in the State of California to evaluate the extent of environmental degradation posed by proposed development or project.

CMA: (Congestion Management Agency) The agency responsible for developing the Congestion Management Program and coordinating and monitoring its implementation.

CMAQ: (Congestion Mitigation Air Quality program) Part of ISTEA, this is a funding program designed for projects that contribute to the attainment of air quality goals.

CMP: (Congestion Management Program) A legislatively required countywide program, which addresses congestion problems.

CMS: (Changeable Message Sign)

CMS: (Congestion Management System) Required by ISTEA to be implemented by states to improve transportation planning.

COG: (Council of Governments) A voluntary consortium of local government representatives, from contiguous communities, meeting on a regular basis, and formed to cooperate on common planning and solve common development problems of their area. COGs can function as the RTPAs and MPOs in urbanized areas.

Commute Hours: AM and PM peak commute travel times. Generally, between the hours of 5:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Concept: A strategy for future improvements that will reduce congestion or maintain the existing level of service on a specific route.

Congestion: Defined by Caltrans as, reduced speeds of less than 35 miles per hour for longer than 15 minutes.

CTC: (California Transportation Commission) A body established by Assembly Bill 402 (AB 402) and appointed by the Governor to advise and assist the Secretary of the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency and the Legislature in formulating and evaluating state policies and plans for transportation.

D/C: (Demand-to-Capacity ratio) The relationship between the number of vehicle trips operating on a facility, versus the number of vehicle trips that can be accommodated on that facility.

DSMP: (District System Management Plan) A part of the system planning process. A district's long-range plan for management of transportation systems in its jurisdiction.

Extended Commute: Service hours beyond the normal commute hours. Generally, in the evening, this refers to transit service until 10:00 p.m.

F+I Actual: (Fatal Plus Injury Actual) Contains specific data for accidents that are State highway related. Each accident record contains a ramp, intersection or highway postmile address that ties it to the Highway database.

F+I Average: (Fatal Plus Injury Average) The Statewide Average Accident Rate (SWA) is based on a rated segment. The accident-rating factor (ARF) indicates how the existing segment compares to other segments on the State Highway System. The ARF is a comparison of the segment's accident rate to the statewide average accident rate for roads of the same type and having similar characteristics. Accident severity as well as accident frequency is considered in calculating the ARF. If the total number of accidents is less than three, there will not be a calculation for the ARF. If there are more than two, but less than twenty-five total accidents, an accident-rating factor will be generated, but there will not be an accident severity flag listed. If there are more than twenty-five accidents, an accident rating factor and severity flag will be generated.

F+I/MVM: (Fatal Plus Injury per Million Vehicle Miles) The fatality rate of those killed in vehicles plus the injury rate of those injured in vehicles.

FAI: (Federal Aid Interstate) Highway program established in 1956 for national defense purposes, these roadways interconnect the major nationwide population and economic centers. Also, there is a federal funding category for these routes.

FHWA: (Federal Highway Administration)

Free-flow Speed: Speed that occurs when density and flow are "zero".

Freeway Capacity: The maximum sustained 15 minute rate of flow that can be accommodated by a uniform freeway segment under prevailing traffic and roadway conditions in a specified direction.

FSP: (Freeway Service Patrol) A special team of tow truck drivers who continuously patrol freeways during commuter hours to help clear disabled automobiles.

HSR: (High Speed Rail) A regional system that will connect major regional activity centers and significant inter-/multi-modal transportation facilities.

I/C: (Interchange) A system of interconnecting roadways in conjunction with one or more grade separations providing for the interchange of traffic between two or more roadways on different levels.

ICES: (Intermodal Corridors of Economic Significance) Significant National Highway System Corridors that link intermodal facilities most directly, conveniently and efficiently to intrastate, interstate and international markets.

IRRS: (Interregional Road System) A series of interregional state highway routes, outside the urbanized areas, that provide access to, and links between, the state's economic centers, major recreational areas, and urban and rural regions.

ISTEA: (Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act) Federal legislation and funding Program adopted in 1991. It provides increased funding and program flexibility for multi-modal transportation programs. Update: ISTEA expired on September 30, 1997. In December 1997, Congress passed and the President signed a six-month extension of the law, holding funding to current levels and keeping program structure and formulas intact. This extension expired on March 31, 1998, with an obligation deadline of May 1, 1998. On June 9, 1998, the President signed into law PL 105-178, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) authorizing highway, highway safety, transit and other surface transportation programs for the next 6 years. TEA-21 builds on the initiatives established in the 1991 ISTEA.

ITIP: (Interregional Transportation Improvement Program) An improvement program that makes up 25% of the STIP. 60% of this program is for improvements on Interregional Routes in non-urbanized areas and intercity rail. 40% is to fund projects of interregional significance (for the interregional movement of people and goods).

ITMS: (Intermodal Transportation Management System) A quick-response statewide sketch planning tool to assist planners in evaluating proposals in order to improve spending decisions. It provides the capability to analyze the current transportation network and to evaluate the impacts of investment options at the corridor area or statewide level.

ITS: (Intelligent Transportation Systems) The application of electronics and computer information systems to transportation.

ITSP: (Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan) Caltrans guiding framework for implementing the Interregional Improvement Program under Senate Bill 45.

IVHS: (Intelligent Vehicle Highway Systems) The development of application of electronics, communications or information processing (including advanced traffic management systems, public transportation systems, satellite vehicle tracking systems, and advanced vehicle

communications systems) used alone or in combination to improve the efficiency and safety of surface transportation systems.

LAX: (Los Angeles International Airport)

LOS: (Level of Service) A qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream; generally described in terms of such factors as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience, and safety.

MF: (Mixed Flow) Traffic movement having automobiles, trucks, buses, and motorcycles sharing traffic lanes.

Model: (1) A mathematical or conceptual presentation of relationships and actions within a system. It is used for analysis of the system or its evaluation under various conditions. (2) A mathematical description of a real-life situation, that uses data on past and present conditions to make a projection about the future.

Model, Land Use: A model used to predict the future spatial allocation of urban activities (land use), given total regional growth, the future transportation system, and other factors.

Model, Mode Choice: A model used to forecast the proportion of total person trips on each of the available transportation modes.

Model, Traffic: A mathematical equation or graphic technique used to simulate traffic movements, particularly those in urban areas or on a freeway.

MPO: (Metropolitan Planning Organization) According to U.S. Code, the organization designated by the governor and local elected officials as responsible, together with the state, for the transportation planning in an urbanized area. It serves as the forum for cooperative decision making by principal elected officials of general local government.

Multi-modal: Pertaining to more than one mode of travel.

NHS: (National Highway System) will consist of 155,000 miles (plus or minus 15 percent) of the major roads in the U.S. Included will be all Interstate routes, a large percentage of urban and rural principal arterials, the defense strategic highway network, and strategic highway connectors.

Null: A concept that includes only existing projects and those projects which may or may not be constructed but are programmed in the 1996 STIP.

Peak: (Peak Period, Rush Hours): (1) The period during which the maximum amount of travel occurs. It may be specified as the morning (a.m.) or afternoon or evening (p.m.) peak. (2) The period during which the demand for transportation service is the heaviest. (AM Peak period represents 6:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and PM Peak period represents 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.)

Performance Indicator: Quantitative measures of how effective an activity, task, or function is being performed. In transportation systems, it is usually computed by relating a measure of service output or use to a measure of service input or cost.

PM: (Post Mile) Is the mileage measured from a county line or the beginning of a route to another county line or the ending of the route. Each post mile along a route in a county is a unique location on the State Highway System.

PMT: (Passenger Miles Traveled) The number of miles traveled by all passengers on a transportation mode such as transit.

PPN: (Planning and Program Number) Used in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to identify projects.

PSR: (Project Study Report) The pre-programming document required before a project may be included in the STIP.

Public Transportation: Transportation service to the public on a regular basis using vehicles that transport more than one person for compensation, usually but not exclusively over a set route or routes from one fixed point or another. Routes and schedules may be determined through a cooperative arrangement. Subcategories include public transit service, and paratransit services that are available to the general public.

Ridesharing: Two or more persons traveling by any mode, including but not limited to, automobile, vanpool, bus, taxi, jitney, and public transit.

RMP: (Regional Mobility Plan) The equivalent to the federal and state required Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) for the SCAG region.

Roadway Characteristics: The geometric characteristics of the freeway segment under study, including the number and width of lanes, lateral clearances at the roadside and median, free-flow speeds, grades and lane configurations.

RSA: (Regional Statistical Area) An aggregation of census tracts for the purpose of sub-regional demographic and transportation analysis within the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) area.

RTIP: (Regional Transportation Improvement Program) A list of proposed transportation projects submitted to the CTC by the regional transportation planning agency, as a request for state funding through the FCR and Urban and Commuter Rail Programs. The individual projects are first proposed by local jurisdictions (CMAs in urbanized counties), then evaluated and prioritized by the RTPA for submission to the CTC. The RTIP has a seven-year planning horizon, and is updated every two years.

RTP: (Regional Transportation Plan) A comprehensive 20-year plan for the region, updated every two years by the regional transportation-planning agency. The RTP includes goals, objectives, and policies, and recommends specific transportation improvements.

RTPA: (Regional Transportation Planning Agency) The agency responsible for the preparation of RTPs and RTIPs and designated by the State Business Transportation and Housing Agency to allocate transit funds. RTPAs can be local transportation commissions, COGs, MPOs or statutorily created agencies. In the Los Angeles area, SCAG is the RTPA.

SCAB: (South Coast Air Basin) A geographic area defined by the San Jacinto Mountains to the east, the San Bernardino Mountains to the north, and the Pacific Ocean to the west and south. The entire SCAB is under the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD).

SCAG: (Southern California Association of Governments) The Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside and Imperial counties that is responsible for preparing the RTIP and the RTP. SCAG also prepared land use and transportation control measures in the 1994 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP).

SHOPP: (State Highway Operation and Protection Program) A four-year program limited to projects related to State highway safety and rehabilitation.

SR: (State Route)

STAA: (Surface Transportation Assistance Act)

STIP: (State Transportation Improvement Program) A list of transportation projects, proposed in RTIPs and the PSTIP, which are approved for funding by the CTC.

STP: (Surface Transportation Program) Part of ISTEA, this is a funding program intended for use by the states and cities for congestion relief in urban areas.

STRAHNET: (Strategic Highway Corridor Network)

TASAS: (Traffic Accident Surveillance and Analysis System) A system that provides a detailed list and/or summary of accidents that have occurred on highways, ramps or intersections in the State Highway System. Accidents can be selected by location, highway characteristics, accident data codes or any combination of these.

TCM: (Transportation Control Measure) A measure intended to reduce pollutant emissions from motor vehicles. Examples of TCMs include programs to encourage ridesharing or public transit usage, city or county trip reduction ordinances, and the use of cleaner burning fuels in motor vehicles.

TCR: (Transportation Concept Report) Formerly Route Concept Report (RCR) this report analyzes a transportation corridor service area, establishes a twenty-year transportation planning concept and identifies modal transportation options and applications needed to achieve the twenty-year concepts.

TDM: (Transportation Demand Management) Demand based techniques for reducing traffic congestion, such as ridesharing programs and flexible work schedules enabling employees to commute to and from work outside of peak hours.

TEA-21: (Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century) Signed by President Clinton on June 9, 1998. TEA-21 builds on the initiatives established in the ISTEA Act of 1991. This new Act combines the continuation and improvement of current programs with new initiatives to meet the challenges of improving safety as traffic continues to increase at record levels, protecting and enhancing communities and the natural environment as we provide transportation, and advancing America's economic growth and competitiveness domestically and internationally through efficient and flexible transportation.

TMC: (Transportation Management Center) A focal point that can monitor traffic and road conditions, as well as train and transit schedules, and airport and shipping advisories. From here, information about accidents, road closures and emergency notifications is relayed to travelers.

TOPS: (Traffic Operations Strategies) An implementation plan to improve the overall operation of the State transportation system.

TOS: (Traffic Operation System) Computer based signal operation.

TOT/MVM: (Total Accidents Per Million Vehicle Miles)

Traffic Conditions: Any characteristics of the traffic stream that may affect capacity or operations, including the percentage composition of the traffic stream by vehicle type and driver characteristics (such as the differences between weekday commuters and recreational drivers).

TSM: (Transportation System Management) That part of the urban transportation Process undertaken to improve the efficiency of the existing transportation system. The intent is to make better use of the existing transportation system by using short-term, low capital transportation improvements that generally cost less and can be implemented more quickly than system development actions.

TW: (Transitway)

Vehicle Occupancy: The number of people aboard a vehicle at a given time; also known as auto or automobile occupancy when the reference is to automobile travel only.

Vehicle Trip: A one-way movement of a vehicle between two points.

V/C: (Volume/Capacity).

VMT: (Vehicle Miles Traveled) (1) On highways, a measurement of the total miles traveled in all vehicles in the area for a specified time period. It is calculated by the number of vehicles multiplied by the miles traveled in a given area or on a given highway during the time period. (2) In transit, the number of vehicle miles operated on a given route or line or network during a specified time period.